UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION

JOSEPH SECREST,)
Petitioner)
v.)) CAUSE NO. 3:04-CV-695 RM
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) (arising from 3:98-CR-00036(01)RM)
Respondent)

OPINION AND ORDER

The court denied Joseph Secrest's habeas corpus petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, and then denied his application for a certificate of appealability as to that ruling. He appealed those decisions. Mr. Secrest now files a motion requesting that transcripts of his trial proceedings be provided for him at public expense, and a separate motion for leave to proceed on his appeal in forma pauperis. An appeal may not be taken in forma pauperis if the district court certifies in writing that the appeal is not taken in good faith. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). In order to find the appeal is in good faith, the "court need only find that a reasonable person could suppose that the appeal has some merit." Walker v. O'Brien, 216 F.3d 626, 632 (7th Cir. 2000).

Mr. Secrest's habeas petition and appeal are based entirely on rules of law that, for reasons explained in this court's previous orders [docket nos. 76 and 80], have no application to his case. No reasonable person could suppose his appeal has some merit, so the court now certifies in writing that his appeal is not taken

USDC IN/ND case 3:98-cr-00036-RLM document 86 filed 04/18/05 page 2 of 2

in good faith. Lacking good faith, Mr. Secrest cannot proceed on his appeal in

forma pauperis. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

For similar reasons, Mr. Secrest's request for transcripts at public expense

must also be denied. For Mr. Secrest to obtain transcripts of his criminal case at

public expense, he must have been granted in forma pauperis status, and this

court must certify "the suit or appeal is not frivolous and that the transcript is

needed to decide the issue presented by the suit or appeal." 28 U.S.C. § 753(f). Mr.

Secrest hasn't been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis (quite the

contrary), his appeal is frivolous, and transcripts are not needed to decide the

issues he presents on appeal.

Accordingly, Mr. Secrest's motion to have transcripts provided for him at

public expense [docket no. 82] is DENIED; and his motion for leave to proceed in

forma pauperis [docket no. 83] is DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

ENTERED: <u>April 18, 2005</u>

/s/ Robert L. Miller, Jr.

Chief Judge

United States District Court

cc:

J. Secrest

K. Hays

2